

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,478	12/03/2003	Masaki Shinkawa	0001536USU/2215	5887
7.	590 07/30/2004	EXAMINER		
Charles N. J. Ruggiero, Esq. Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. 10th Floor			NGUYEN, PHUONGCHI T	
				•
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Landmark		2833		
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/726,478	SHINKAWA, MASAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuongchi Nguyen	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO!	timely filed lays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communication, NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
	is action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Received in Received in Received.	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shyu (US4666232) in view of Pauza et al (US5890926).

In regarding to claim 1, Shyu discloses an antenna cord plug structure connecting a coaxial cable, which connects an antenna device and a transmitter-receiver, with the antenna device, the antenna cord plug structure comprising a terminal portion (1) (figure 1) formed on one of end portions of the coaxial cable (a) and connected to the antenna device (it is inherent), the terminal portion (1) comprising a central terminal (3) formed on a distal portion and connected to a central conductor (c) of the coaxial cable (a), and an external terminal (2) formed to cover a part of the coaxial cable (a), connected to an external conductor (b) of the coaxial cable (column 2, lines 29-31), and having a first end and second end, in which the central conductor (c) is arranged at the first end of the external terminal (2) via an insulation portion (of a) and the coaxial cable (a) is extended from the second end of the external terminal (2). Shyu lacks a plug body. However, Pauza et al teaches (figure 3) a plug body (50) comprising an insertion opening (adjacent 56) into which the coaxial cable (42) is inserted and an engaging portion (62) engaging and fixing the coaxial cable (42) inserted into the insertion opening (adjacent 56) in a state of being bent at approximately 90 degrees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the antenna cord plug

Art Unit: 2833

of Shyu by providing the plug body as taught by Pauza et al for holder the coaxial cable in a certain location.

Page 3

In regarding to claim 2, Shyu discloses the invention, but lacks an insulating plug body. However, Pauza teaches the plug body (50) (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the antenna cord plug of Shyu by providing the plug body as taught by Pauza et al and made the plug body by insulative material for prevent electric shock when the coaxial cable connected to the antenna cord plug.

In regarding to claim 3, Shyu discloses the invention, but lacks the end of the external terminal abuts on the insertion opening. However, Pauza teaches the end of the external terminal (46) abuts on the insertion opening (adjacent 56) of the engaging portion (62) (figure 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to connect tightly the end of the external terminal of the antenna plug of Shyu next to the insertion opening of the plug body as taught by Pauza et al for having a good connection between the antenna cord plug and the plug body.

In regarding to claim 4, further in view of claim 3, a fitting portion cited in claim 4 is understood as a broad term. Therefore, the member (64) of Pauza is called a fitting portion (figure 3). Claim 4 is rejected for the same reason of claim 3.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,478 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In regarding claim 5, none of prior art teaches so suggests an elastic deformation of the insulating resin plug body for holding the coaxial cable comprising an insertion opening and an engaging portion in a state of being bent at approximately 90 degrees with a slot portion of the engaging portion having a smaller width than a diameter of the coaxial cable to press the coaxial cable into.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchi Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2012. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). mAN

**PCN** 

July 22, 2004